

Poincaré DualityPoincaré Duality Pairing

Let M be an oriented n -manifold without boundary. Consider

$$\int_M : Z^k(M) \times Z_c^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad Z^k(\) = \{ \text{closed } k\text{-forms} \}$$

$$(\omega, \tau) \mapsto \int_M \omega \wedge \tau$$

- $\omega \wedge \tau$ has compact support because $\underbrace{\text{supp}(\omega \wedge \tau)}_{\text{closed}} \subset \underbrace{\text{supp } \omega \cap \text{supp } \tau}_{\text{Compact}}$
- $\text{closed} \wedge \text{exact} = \text{closed} \wedge d(\)$
 $= \pm d(\text{closed} \wedge (\))$

By Stokes's theorem, $\int_M \text{closed} \wedge \text{exact} = \int_M d(\) = \int_{\partial M} (\) = 0$.

Similarly, $\int_M \text{exact} \wedge \text{closed} = 0$.

Thus, \exists an induced pairing

$$\int_M : \underbrace{Z^k(M)}_{B^k(M)} \times \underbrace{Z_c^{n-k}(M)}_{B_c^{n-k}(M)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\parallel \qquad \parallel$$

$$H^k(M) \qquad H_c^{n-k}(M)$$

Th. (Poincaré duality) On an oriented n -manifold M of finite type,

$$H^k(M) \times H_c^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$([\omega], [\tau]) \mapsto \int_M \omega \wedge \tau$$

is nondegenerate. Hence,

$$H^k(M) \simeq (H_c^{n-k}(M))^{\vee}.$$

Diagram of Pairings

Def. $a \in A \xrightarrow{f} C$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & x & x \\ B & \xleftarrow{g} & E \xrightarrow{\varphi} E \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ \mathbb{R} & & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

is commutative if $(*)$

$\forall a \in A$ and $e \in E$, $\varphi(a, g(e)) = \psi(f(a), e)$

Lemma. $(*)$ is commutative iff

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & C \\ \varphi_L \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_L \\ B^v & \xrightarrow{g^v} & E^v \end{array} \text{ is commutative. } (**)$$

Pf. $(**)$ is commutative

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in A$$

$$(g^v \circ \varphi_L)(a) = g^v(\varphi(a, -)) = \varphi(a, g(-))$$

$$(\psi_L \circ f)(a) = \psi_L(f(a)) = \psi(f(a), (-))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (*) \text{ is commutative. } \square$$

Pairing of Two Mayer-Vietoris Sequences

Let $\{U, V\}$ be an open cover of an n -manifold M of finite type. Pair up the two Mayer-Vietoris seq.:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{restriction} & & \text{difference of rest.} & & & & \\ H^k(U \cup V) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^k(U) \oplus H^k(V) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^k(U \cap V) & \xrightarrow{d^*} & H^{k+1}(U \cup V) \\ x & \textcircled{1} & x & \textcircled{2} & x & \textcircled{3} & x \\ & & & & & & (MV) \\ H_c^{n-k}(U \cup V) & \xleftarrow{j_*} & H_c^{n-k}(U) \oplus H_c^{n-k}(V) & \xleftarrow{i_*} & H_c^{n-k}(U \cap V) & \xleftarrow{d_*} & H_c^{n-k-1}(U \cup V) \\ \downarrow \int_{U \cup V} & \text{sum} & \downarrow \int_U + \int_V & \text{signed inclusion} & \downarrow \int_{U \cap V} & & \downarrow \int_{U \cup V} \\ \mathbb{R} & & \mathbb{R} & & \mathbb{R} & & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

Construction of $d^*: H^k(U \cap V) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(U \cup V)$

$$\Omega^k(U \cup V) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(U) \oplus \Omega^{k+1}(V)$$

$$d^* \omega \rightarrow (-d(p_V \omega), d(p_U \omega))$$

$$\Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V) \xrightarrow{\text{diff.}} \Omega^k(U \cap V)$$

$$(-p_V \omega, p_U \omega) \mapsto \omega \text{ closed}$$

$d^* \omega$ is the $(k+1)$ -form on $U \cup V$ such that

$$d^* \omega = \begin{cases} -d(p_V \omega) & \text{on } U, \\ d(p_U \omega) & \text{on } V. \end{cases}$$

Construction of $d_*: H_c^{n-k-1}(U \cup V) \rightarrow H_c^{n-k}(U \cap V)$

$$\Omega_c^{n-k}(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\text{signed diff.}} \Omega_c^{n-k}(U) \oplus \Omega_c^{n-k}(V)$$

$$\Omega_c^{n-k-1}(U) \oplus \Omega_c^{n-k-1}(V) \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} \Omega_c^{n-k-1}(U \cup V)$$

$$d_* \omega \mapsto (d(p_U \tau), d(p_V \tau))$$

$$(p_U \tau, p_V \tau) \mapsto \tau \text{ closed}$$

Since $p_U \tau + p_V \tau = \tau$,

$$d(p_U \tau) + d(p_V \tau) = d\tau = 0.$$

$$d(p_V \tau) = -d(p_U \tau)$$

We define $d_* \tau = -d(p_U \tau) = d(p_V \tau)$ on $U \cap V$.

Prop. For $\omega \in \Omega^k(U \cap V)$, although $d^* \omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(U \cup V)$, $\text{supp } d^* \omega \subset U \cap V$.

Pf. Note that

$$\text{supp } p_V \omega \subset (\text{supp } p_V) \cap \text{supp } \omega \subset \text{supp } \omega \subset U \cap V.$$

Since d is support-decreasing, on U ,

$$\text{supp } d^* \omega = \text{supp } (-d(p_V \omega)) \subset \text{supp } p_V \omega \subset U \cap V.$$

A similar argument shows that on V ,

$$\text{supp } d^* \omega = \text{supp } (d(p_U \omega)) \subset \text{supp } p_U \omega \subset U \cap V. \quad \square$$

Proof of Poincaré Duality

Theorem. (M, ν) is a sign-commutative diagram of pairings.
(Actually ① and ② are commutative.)

Pf. (for ③) Fix a C^∞ partition of unity $\{p_U, p_V\}$ subordinate to $\{U, V\}$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_c^k(U \cap V)$, $\tau \in \Omega_c^{n-k}(U \cup V)$.

We need to check

$$\int_{U \cup V} (d^* \omega) \wedge \tau = \int_{U \cap V} \omega \wedge d_* \tau.$$

$$\text{LHS} = \int_{U \cup V} (d^* \omega) \wedge \tau = \int_{U \cap V} (d^* \omega) \wedge \tau \quad (\text{since } \text{supp } d^* \omega \subset U \cap V)$$

$$= \int_{U \cap V} d(p_U \omega) \wedge \tau \quad (\text{def. of } d^* \omega)$$

$$= \int_{U \cap V} d(p_U \omega \wedge \tau) \quad (\text{since } d\tau = 0)$$

$$\text{RHS} = \int_{U \cap V} \omega \wedge d_* \tau = \int_{U \cap V} \omega \wedge (-d p_U \tau) \quad (\text{def of } d_* \tau)$$

$$= -(-1)^{\deg \omega} \int_{U \cap V} d(\omega \wedge (p_U \tau)) \quad (\text{antiderivation prop. of } d)$$

$$= (-1)^{(\deg \omega) + 1} \int_{U \cap V} d(p_U \omega \wedge \tau). \quad \square$$

Prop. Let U, V be open in a manifold M . Suppose Poincaré duality holds for U, V , and $U \cap V$, then it holds for $U \cup V$.

Proof.

By an earlier lemma, the commutative diagram (*) of pairings turns into a commutative diagram of linear maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \rightarrow H^k(U \cup V) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^k(U) \oplus H^k(V) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^k(U \cap V) & \xrightarrow{d^*} & H^{k+1}(U \cup V) \rightarrow \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \rightarrow H_c^{n-k}(U \cup V) & \xrightarrow{j_*^\vee} & H_c^{n-k}(U) \oplus H_c^{n-k}(V) & \xrightarrow{i_*^\vee} & H_c^{n-k}(U \cap V) & \xrightarrow{d_*^\vee} & H_c^{n-k-1}(U \cup V) \rightarrow
 \end{array}$$

By hypothesis, the two maps on either side of $H^k(U \cup V)$ are isomorphisms. By the Five Lemma, $H^k(U \cup V) \rightarrow H_c^{n-k}(U \cup V)^\vee$ is an isomorphism. \square

Poincaré Duality for \mathbb{R}^n

We need to check that

$$H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H_c^{n-k}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\omega, \tau) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega \wedge \tau$$

For $k \neq 0$, $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = H_c^{n-k}(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$. Nothing to check.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Consider} & H^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n) & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
 & \parallel & \parallel \\
 & \mathbb{R} & [\mathbb{R}[\sigma]]
 \end{array}$$

$$\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ satisfies } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma = 1.$$

$$(a, b\sigma) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ab\sigma = ab.$$

The map $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ is nondegenerate.

$$\text{Since } ab = 0 \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow a = 0$$

$$\text{and } ab = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow b = 0.$$

\square

Proof of Poincaré Duality

Suppose M has a good cover with r open sets U_1, \dots, U_r .

When $r=1$, $M=U_1 \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$, which satisfies Poincaré duality.

Suppose Poincaré duality holds for any manifold having a good cover with $< r$ open sets.

Let $U = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_{r-1}$ and $V = U_r$. Then P.D. holds for

U and V . Since $U \cap V = (U_1 \cap U_r) \cup \dots \cup (U_{r-1} \cap U_r)$ has

a good cover with $r-1$ open sets, P.D. holds for $U \cap V$.

By the preceding proposition, P.D. holds for $M = U \cup V$. \square